

## ON THE SECOND MOMENT OF THE NUMBER OF CROSSINGS BY A STATIONARY GAUSSIAN PROCESS

BY MARIE F. KRATZ AND JOSÉ R. LEÓN<sup>1</sup>

*MAP5 UMR 8145 and SAMOS-MATISSE UMR 8595, and UCV Caracas*

Cramér and Leadbetter introduced in 1967 the sufficient condition

$$\frac{r''(s) - r''(0)}{s} \in L^1([0, \delta], dx), \quad \delta > 0,$$

to have a finite variance of the number of zeros of a centered stationary Gaussian process with twice differentiable covariance function  $r$ . This condition is known as the Geman condition, since Geman proved in 1972 that it was also a necessary condition. Up to now no such criterion was known for counts of crossings of a level other than the mean. This paper shows that the Geman condition is still sufficient and necessary to have a finite variance of the number of any fixed level crossings. For the generalization to the number of a curve crossings, a condition on the curve has to be added to the Geman condition.

**1. Introduction and main result.** Let  $X = \{X_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be a centered stationary Gaussian process. Its correlation function  $r$  is supposed to be twice differentiable and to satisfy on  $[0, \delta]$ , with  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(1) \quad r(\tau) = 1 + \frac{r''(0)}{2}\tau^2 + \theta(\tau)$$

with  $\theta(\tau) > 0$ ,  $\frac{\theta(\tau)}{\tau^2} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\frac{\theta'(\tau)}{\tau} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\theta''(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ .

The nonnegative function  $L$  defined by  $\theta''(\tau) := \tau L(\tau)$  will be referred to as the Geman function.

Let us consider a continuous differentiable real function  $\psi$  and let us define, as in [2], the number of crossings of the function  $\psi$  by the process

---

Received December 2004; revised June 2005.

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by the Project No. 97003647 “Modelaje Estocástico Aplicado” of the Agenda Petróleo of FONACIT Venezuela.

*AMS 2000 subject classifications.* Primary 60G15; secondary 60G10, 60G70.

*Key words and phrases.* Crossings, Gaussian processes, Geman condition, Hermite polynomials, level curve, spectral moment.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the Institute of Mathematical Statistics in *The Annals of Probability*, 2006, Vol. 34, No. 4, 1601–1607. This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

$X$  on an interval  $[0, t]$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ), as the random variable  $N_t^\psi = N_t(\psi) = \#\{s \leq t : X_s = \psi_s\}$ .

The number  $N_t^\psi$  of  $\psi$ -crossings by  $X$  can also be seen as the number of zero crossings  $N_t^Y(0)$  by the nonstationary (but stationary in the sense of the covariance) Gaussian process  $Y = \{Y_s, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , with  $Y_s := X_s - \psi_s$ , that is,  $N_t^\psi = N_t^Y(0)$ .

Regarding the moments of the number of crossings by  $X$ , one of the most well-known first results was obtained by Rice [9] for a given level  $x$ , namely

$$\mathbb{E}[N_t(x)] = t e^{-x^2/2} \sqrt{-r''(0)} / \pi.$$

This equality was proved two decades later by Itô [7] and Ylvisaker [11], providing a necessary and sufficient condition to have a finite mean number of crossings:

$$\mathbb{E}[N_t(x)] < \infty \iff -r''(0) < \infty.$$

Also in the 1960s, following on the work of Cramér, generalization to curve crossings and higher-order moments for  $N_t(\cdot)$  were considered in a series of papers by Cramér and Leadbetter [2] and Ylvisaker [12].

Moreover, Cramér and Leadbetter [2] provided an explicit formula for the second factorial moment of the number of zeros of the process  $X$ , and proposed a sufficient condition on the correlation function of  $X$  in order to have the random variable  $N_t(0)$  belonging to  $L^2(\Omega)$ , namely

$$\text{If } L(t) := \frac{r''(t) - r''(0)}{t} \in L^1([0, \delta], dx) \quad \text{then } \mathbb{E}[N_t^2(0)] < \infty.$$

Geman [6] proved that this condition was not only sufficient but also necessary:

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{E}[N_t^2(0)] < \infty \iff L(t) \in L^1([0, \delta], dx) \quad (\text{Geman condition}).$$

This condition held only when choosing the level as the mean of the process.

Generalizing this result to any given level  $x$  and to some differentiable curve  $\psi$  has been subject to some investigation and nice papers, such as the ones of Cuzick [4, 5] proposing sufficient conditions. But to get necessary conditions remained an open problem for many years. The solution of this problem is enunciated in the following theorem.

**THEOREM.**

(1) *For any given level  $x$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[N_t^2(x)] < \infty \iff \exists \delta > 0, L(t) = \frac{r''(t) - r''(0)}{t} \in L^1([0, \delta], dx) \\ (\text{Geman condition}).$$

(2) Suppose that the continuous differentiable real function  $\psi$  is such that

$$(3) \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \int_0^\delta \frac{\gamma(s)}{s} ds < \infty$$

where  $\gamma(\cdot)$  is the modulus of continuity of  $\dot{\psi}$ .

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[N_t^2(\psi)] < \infty \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad L(t) \in L^1([0, \delta], dx).$$

REMARK. This smooth condition on  $\psi$  is satisfied by a large class of functions which includes in particular functions whose derivatives are Hölder.

Finally let us mention the work of Belyaev [1] and Cuzick [3, 4, 5] who proposed some sufficient conditions to have the finiteness of the  $k$ th (factorial) moments for the number of crossings for  $k \geq 2$ . When  $k \geq 3$ , the difficult problem of finding necessary conditions when considering levels other than the mean is still open.

**2. Proof.** Generalizing the formula of Cramér and Leadbetter ([2], page 209) concerning the zero crossings, the second factorial moment  $M_2^\psi$  of the number of  $\psi$ -crossings can be expressed as

$$(4) \quad M_2^\psi = \int_0^t \int_0^t \int_{R^2} |\dot{x}_1 - \dot{\psi}_{t_1}| |\dot{x}_2 - \dot{\psi}_{t_2}|$$

$$\times p_{t_1, t_2}(\psi_{t_1}, \dot{x}_1, \psi_{t_2}, \dot{x}_2) d\dot{x}_1 d\dot{x}_2 dt_1 dt_2,$$

where  $p_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, \dot{x}_1, x_2, \dot{x}_2)$  is the density of the vector  $(X_{t_1}, \dot{X}_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \dot{X}_{t_2})$  that is supposed nonsingular for all  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . The formula holds whether  $M_2^\psi$  is finite or not.

We also have

$$(5) \quad M_2^\psi = 2 \int_0^t \int_{t_1}^t p_{t_1, t_2}(\psi_{t_1}, \psi_{t_2})$$

$$\times \mathbb{E}[|\dot{X}_{t_1} - \dot{\psi}_{t_1}| |\dot{X}_{t_2} - \dot{\psi}_{t_2}| | X_{t_1} = \psi_{t_1}, X_{t_2} = \psi_{t_2}] dt_2 dt_1,$$

where  $p_{t_1, t_2}(x_1, x_2)$  is the density of  $(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2})$ .

From now on, let us put  $t_2 = t_1 + \tau$ ,  $\tau > 0$ .

The method used to prove that the Geman condition keeps being the sufficient and necessary condition to have  $M_2^\psi$  finite can be sketched into three steps.

The first one consists in using the following regression model to compute the expectation in  $M_2^\psi$ :

$$(R) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{X}_{t_1} &= \zeta + \alpha_1(\tau)X_{t_1} + \alpha_2(\tau)X_{t_1+\tau}, \\ \dot{X}_{t_1+\tau} &= \zeta^* - \beta_1(\tau)X_{t_1} - \beta_2(\tau)X_{t_1+\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\zeta, \zeta^*)$  is jointly Gaussian such that

$$(6) \quad \text{Var}(\zeta) = \text{Var}(\zeta^*) := \sigma^2(\tau) = -r''(0) - \frac{r'^2(\tau)}{1 - r^2(\tau)},$$

$$(7) \quad \rho(\tau) := \frac{\text{Cov}(\zeta, \zeta^*)}{\sigma^2(\tau)} = \frac{-r''(\tau)(1 - r^2(\tau)) - r'^2(\tau)r(\tau)}{-r''(0)(1 - r^2(\tau)) - r'^2(\tau)},$$

and where

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\tau) = \frac{r'(\tau)r(\tau)}{1 - r^2(\tau)}; \quad \alpha_2 = \alpha_2(\tau) = -\frac{r'(\tau)}{1 - r^2(\tau)}$$

$$\beta_1 = \beta_1(\tau) = \alpha_2(\tau); \quad \beta_2 = \beta_2(\tau) = \alpha_1(\tau).$$

In the second step, the expectation, formulated in terms of  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^*$ , will be expand into Hermite polynomials. Recall that the Hermite polynomials  $(H_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , defined by  $H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2/2}$ , constitute a complete orthogonal system in the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \varphi(u) du)$ ,  $\varphi$  denoting the standard normal density.

Finally, this Hermite expansion will allow us to find, in an easier way, lower and upper bounds for  $M_2^\psi$ . Nevertheless, it will required a fine study in the neighborhood of 0, on one hand on the correlation function  $r$  of  $X$  and its derivatives, showing in particular the close relation between the existence of the Geman function  $L$  and the existence of  $r^{(iv)}(0)$ , on the other hand, on the correlation function  $\rho$  of the r.v.  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^*$  of the model (R). It will be presented in the two first lemmas below. Moreover, since the bounds will be expressed in terms of the variance  $\sigma^2(\tau)$  of the r.v.  $\zeta$  (or  $\zeta^*$ ), an interesting lemma (see Lemma 3 below) will show that the behavior of  $L$  is closely related to the behavior of  $\sigma^2(\tau)$ .

LEMMA 1.

- (i) If  $r^{(iv)}(0) = +\infty$ , then  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(\tau)}{\tau} = +\infty$ .
- (ii) If  $r^{(iv)}(0) < +\infty$ , then  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(\tau)}{\tau} = \frac{r^{(iv)}(0)}{2}$ .

LEMMA 2. For  $\tau$  belonging to a neighborhood of 0:

- (i)  $|\frac{r'(\tau)}{\sigma(\tau)}|$  is bounded;
- (ii)  $\rho(\tau) \leq 0$ .

LEMMA 3. For  $\tau$  belonging to a neighborhood of 0:

- (i)  $\frac{\sigma^2(\tau)}{\tau} \leq L(\tau) \leq (2 + C) \frac{\sigma^2(\tau)}{\tau}$ , with  $C \geq 0$ ;
- (ii) For  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\int_0^\delta \frac{\sigma^2(\tau)}{\sqrt{1-r^2(\tau)}} d\tau < \infty \Leftrightarrow \int_0^\delta L(\tau) d\tau < \infty$  (Geman condition).

The proofs of the lemmas are given in [8].

To illustrate the method, we will present the complete proof when considering a fixed level  $x$ . For the case of curve-crossings, you can refer to [8].

So suppose  $\dot{\psi}_s = 0$  and  $\psi_s \equiv x, \forall s$ .

Let  $C$  be a positive constant which may vary from equation to equation. By using the regression (R),  $M_2^x$  can be written as

$$M_2^x = 2 \int_0^t (t - \tau) p_\tau(x, x) \sigma^2(\tau) A(m, \rho, \tau) d\tau,$$

where

$$A(m, \rho, \tau) := \mathbb{E} \left| \left( \frac{\zeta}{\sigma(\tau)} + \frac{r'(\tau)}{(1 + r(\tau))\sigma(\tau)} x \right) \left( \frac{\zeta^*}{\sigma(\tau)} - \frac{r'(\tau)}{(1 + r(\tau))\sigma(\tau)} x \right) \right|,$$

and  $p_\tau(x, x) := p_{0,\tau}(x, x)$ .

Note that

$$(8) \quad M_2^x \geq M_2^{x,\delta} := 2 \int_0^\delta (t - \tau) p_\tau(x, x) \sigma^2(\tau) A(m, \rho, \tau) d\tau, \quad \delta \in [0, \tau].$$

Now, by using Mehler's formula (see, e.g., [10]), we have

$$A(m, \rho, \tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(m) a_k(-m) k! \rho^k(\tau) \quad \text{where } m = m(\tau) := \frac{r'(\tau)x}{(1 + r(\tau))\sigma(\tau)},$$

$|m| = |m(\tau)|$  being bounded because of (i) of Lemma 2, and  $a_k(m)$  are the Hermite coefficients of the function  $|\cdot - m|$ , given by

$$a_0(m) = \mathbb{E}|Z - m| \quad Z \text{ being a standard Gaussian r.v.}$$

$$= m[2\Phi(m) - 1] + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-m^2/2},$$

$$a_1(m) = (1 - 2\Phi(m)) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^m e^{-u^2/2} du$$

and

$$a_l(m) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{l!} H_{l-2}(m) e^{-m^2/2}, \quad l \geq 2.$$

Let us show that  $M_2^x < \infty$  under the Geman condition.

Since by Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$|A(m, \rho, \tau)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k(m)a_k(-m)|k! \leq (\mathbb{E}[(Y-m)^2]\mathbb{E}[(Y+m)^2])^{1/2},$$

with  $Y$  a standard normal r.v., there follows

$$M_2^x \leq I_2 := 2 \int_0^t (t-\tau)p_\tau(x, x)\sigma^2(\tau)(a_0(m)a_0(-m) + 1 + m^2) d\tau.$$

Hence,  $m^2$  being bounded, we obtain  $I_2 \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)p_\tau(x, x)\sigma^2(\tau) d\tau$ .

The study of this last integral reduces to the one on  $[0, \delta]$  because of the uniform continuity outside of a neighborhood of 0, so we can conclude that it is finite if  $L \in L^1[0, \delta]$ , by using Lemma 3(ii).

Let us look now at the reverse implication.

Suppose that  $M_2^x < \infty$ , and so, via (8), that  $M_2^{x, \delta} < \infty$ .

Let us compute  $A(m, \rho, \tau)$  and bound it below.

By using the parity of the Hermite polynomials and the sign of  $\rho$  given in (ii) of Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(m, \rho, \tau) &= a_0^2(m) + |\rho(\tau)|a_1^2(m) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2k}^2(m)(2k)!\rho^{2k}(\tau) \\ &\quad + |\rho| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2k+1}^2(m)(2k+1)!\rho^{2k}(\tau) \\ &\geq a_0^2(m) = \left( -ma_1(m) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}e^{-m^2/2} \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi}e^{-m^2} \geq C \quad (\text{since } |m| < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$M_2^{x, \delta} \geq C \int_0^\delta (t-\tau)p_\tau(x, x)\sigma^2(\tau) d\tau \geq C \int_0^\delta (t-\tau) \frac{\sigma^2(\tau)}{\sqrt{1-r^2(\tau)}} d\tau.$$

An application of Lemma 3(ii), yields that  $M_2^{x, \delta} < \infty$  implies the Geman condition.

The proof of the general case follows the same approach. It requires also to use Taylor formula for  $\psi$  and to introduce the modulus of continuity of  $\dot{\psi}$  to express the expectation in the integrand of  $M_2^\psi$  into two terms, one on which will be applied the described method, the other related to the modulus of continuity of  $\dot{\psi}$ , which is bounded thanks to the condition (3) of the theorem (for more details, see [8]).

**Acknowledgments.** J. León is grateful to the SAMOS-MATISSE (Univ. Paris 1) for their invitation in October 2004. We wish to thank the referees for some very useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BELYAEV, Y. K. (1967). On the number of crossings of a level by a Gaussian random process. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **12** 392–404.
- [2] CRAMÉR, H. and LEADBETTER, M. R. (1967). *Stationary and Related Stochastic Processes*. Wiley, New York. [MR0217860](#)
- [3] CUZICK, J. (1975). Conditions for finite moments of the number of zero crossings for Gaussian processes. *Ann. Probab.* **3** 849–858. [MR0388515](#)
- [4] CUZICK, J. (1978). Local nondeterminism and the zeros of Gaussian processes. *Ann. Probab.* **6** 72–84. [MR0488252](#)
- [5] CUZICK, J. (1987). Correction: “Local nondeterminism and the zeros of Gaussian processes.” *Ann. Probab.* **15** 1229. [MR0893928](#)
- [6] GEMAN, D. (1972). On the variance of the number of zeros of a stationary Gaussian process. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **43** 977–982. [MR0301791](#)
- [7] ITÔ, K. (1964). The expected number of zeros of continuous stationary Gaussian processes. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **3** 207–216. [MR0166824](#)
- [8] KRATZ, M. and LEÓN, J. (2004). On the second moment of the number of crossings by a stationary Gaussian process. Preprint, Samos 203. Available at <http://samos.univ-paris1.fr/ppub2000.html>.
- [9] RICE, S. O. (1944). Mathematical analysis of random noise. *Bell System Tech. J.* **23** 282–332. [MR0010932](#)
- [10] TAQQU, M. (1977). Law of the iterated logarithm for sums of non-linear functions of Gaussian variables that exhibit a long range dependence. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **40** 203–238. [MR0471045](#)
- [11] YLVISAKER, N. (1965). The expected number of zeros of a stationary Gaussian process. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 1043–1046. [MR0177458](#)
- [12] YLVISAKER, N. (1966). On a theorem of Cramér and Leadbetter. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **37** 682–685. [MR0193686](#)

U.F.R. DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE  
 UNIVERSITÉ RENÉ DESCARTES, PARIS V  
 45 RUE DES SAINTS-PÈRES  
 75270 PARIS CEDEX 06  
 FRANCE  
 E-MAIL: [kratz@math-info.univ-paris5.fr](mailto:kratz@math-info.univ-paris5.fr)  
 URL: [www.math-info.univ-paris5.fr/~kratz](http://www.math-info.univ-paris5.fr/~kratz)

ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICA  
 FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS  
 UNIVERSIDAD CENTRAL DE VENEZUELA  
 A.P. 47197 LOS CHAGUARAMOS  
 CARACAS 1041-A  
 VENEZUELA  
 E-MAIL: [jleon@euler.ciens.ucv.ve](mailto:jleon@euler.ciens.ucv.ve)  
 URL: [euler.ciens.ucv.ve/](http://euler.ciens.ucv.ve/)